video. Moreover, the reception of video is not disclosed nor suggested by any part of Frank et al. Further, Rybczynski does not anywhere disclose or suggest a window to receive video. Since neither Frank et al. nor Rybczynski disclose or suggest this element of Applicant's claim 1, and further because the Office Action fails to argue that either reference discloses or suggests this element, Applicant therefore asserts that claim 1 is patentable over Frank et al. in view of Rbczynski. Since the referenced element of claim 1 is present in all claims dependent on claim 1, i.e. claims 2-6, as well as in claim 7 and in all claims dependent on claim 7, i.e. claims 8-10, claims 1-10 are patentable over Frank et al. in view of Rbczynski.

On page 2, the Office Action asserts Rybczynski teaches rendering video only to areas of the region of overlap which have the chroma color, an element of applicant's claim 11, referring to col. 2, lines 35-60. However, the text referenced nowhere discloses or suggests rendering video only to areas of the region of overlap [on a display], (emphasis added) as recited in Applicant's claim; nor does Frank et al. anywhere disclose or suggest this limitation. The Office Action attempts to bridge the gap between the cited art and this element of Applicant's claim by baldly asserting that "[a] video screen is a type of window" but fails to provide any support for this contention with reference to the cited art. Frank et al. and Rybczynski separately or in combination nowhere disclose or suggest that a "video screen is a type of window." Applicant therefore asserts that claim 11 is patentable over Frank et al. in view of Rbczynski. Since the referenced element of claim 11 is present in all claims dependent on claim 1, i.e. claims 12-15, claims 11-15 are patentable over Frank et al. in view of Rbczynski.

Applicant therefore asserts that all claims pending in the application, claims 1-15, are therefore patentable over Frank et al. in view of Rybczynski and should be allowed.

Attorney Docket 42390.P8359

The Examiner is welcome to contact the Attorney of Record, Gregory D. Caldwell (Reg. No. 39,926) at 503 684 6200 to discuss any matters with the case. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees in connection with this communication to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: October 4, 2002

Gregory D. Caldwell Reg. No. 39,926

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025-1026 (503) 684-6200